

Keywords: Volume Adjustment Optimization Segmented models Diameter Height

Historic: Received 18/10/2019 Accepted 04/12/2019

<sup>+</sup>Correspondence: ramazanozcelik@isparta.edu.tr

DOI: 10.1590/01047760201925042672

## **CALIBRATING A TAPER MODEL FOR ORIENTAL SPRUCE IN TURKEY**

ÖZÇELIK, R.; CAO, Q. V.; YAVUZ, H. Calibrating a taper model for oriental spruce in Turkey. **CERNE**, v. 25, n. 4, p.473-481, 2019.

## HIGHLIGHTS

A modified form of Max and Burkhart's (1976) taper model was used in this study.

We tested all combinations of five fitting methods and six adjustment strategies.

Mixed results were obtained when various fitting/adjustment procedures were used.

The model optimized for taper and cumulative volume and then adjusted to fit the combined estimator was the most appropriate.

## ABSTRACT

In this study, Max and Burkhart (1976)'s segmented taper model was used to describe stem profile and predict stem volume of oriental spruce in Turkey. Thirty procedures were evaluated, which include five fitting methods and six adjustment strategies. The fitting methods resulted in parameters that were optimized for (1) taper, (2) cumulative volume, (3) taper and cumulative volume, (4) taper and total volume, and (5) taper, cumulative volume, and total volume. The adjustment strategies are (1) unadjusted, and adjusted to match (2) DBH, (3) predicted total volume, (4) DBH and predicted total volume, (5) a combined estimator, and (6) DBH and a combined estimator. Results showed that, without adjustment, the model with parameters optimized for taper gave good prediction for both taper and cumulative volume. Mixed results were obtained when various adjustment strategies were used on different fitting techniques. The overall best-ranked procedure for predicting both taper and volume was the model optimized for taper and cumulative volume and then adjusted to fit the combined estimator.

Isparta University of Applied Sciences, Isparta, Turkey - ORCID: 0000-0003-2132-2589
 Louisiana State University Agricultural Center Baton Rouge, LA, United States - ORCID:
 Karadeniz Technical University, Trabzon, Turkey - ORCID:

### INTRODUCTION

Prediction of tree volume in a stand, either total volume or merchantable volume, are essential for forest management and planning. Predicting tree merchantable volume for any utilization standard can be done by use of volume ratios (Honer 1964, Burkhart 1977, Cao and Burkhart 1980; Teshome 2005) or by integrating stem profile models. Numerous taper equations, from simple to complex, have been used to describe stem profile of various tree species (Kozak 2004; Jordan et al. 2005; Diéguez-Aranda et al. 2006; Li and Weiskittel 2010; Schröder et al. 2015; Özçelik and Crecente-Campo 2016). Flexible equations such as variableexponent taper models (Kozak 1988; Bi 2000; Kozak 2004; Newnham 1992; Sharma and Zhang 2004) cannot be analytically integrated, and therefore need to be numerically integrated for volume computation. On the other hand, volume by integration exists in closed form for segmented taper equations (Max and Burkhart 1976; Cao et al. 1980; Clark et al. 1991; Fang and Bailey 2000), which can also be directly solved to produce an estimate of merchantable height for a given top diameter (Kozak and Smith 1993).

Demaerschalk (1972) introduced the concept of a compatible taper and volume system, in which integration of the taper model produces volume that equals the volume predicted by a volume equation. This is because taper and volume are mathematically and biologically related (Munro and Demaerschalk 1974). A compatible taper equation can be obtained either by deriving from a total or merchantable volume equation (Demaerschalk 1972, Clutter 1980), or by applying constraints to ensure that its integration produces specified stem volume (Goulding and Murray 1976; Cao et al. 1980; Van Deusen et al. 1982, 1988; Reed and Green 1984; Lenhart et al. 1987; Fang and Bailey 1999; Diéguez-Aranda et al. 2006).

A method to simultaneously fit equations in the taper and volume system substantially reduced the total estimation error (Reed 1982, Reed and Green 1984). This simultaneous estimation problem was reformulated by Van Deusen (1988) as a seemingly unrelated regressions (SUR) problem, which can be easily solved using standard statistical software packages.

Oriental spruce (*Picea orientalis* L.) is an important tree species in northeastern of Turkey and it occupies an area of 328.000 ha, with the standing volume about 71.4 million m<sup>3</sup> (GDF 2018). This species is utilized for pulpwood and cellulose. However, because of ever-changing market conditions, existing equations and local volume tables that are based on fixed merchantability

limits no longer suffice. In addition, environmental benefits from oriental spruce forests in northeastern Turkey include conservation of biological diversity, climate change mitigation and adaptation, and protection of soil and water resources. Therefore, forest managers need detailed information supplied by growth and yield prediction models, such as volume classified by merchantable products, for sustainable management of these forests.

Some taper models have been tested to describing stem profile and predict volume for some tree species in Turkey (Brooks et al. 2008; Sakici et al. 2008; Özçelik et al. 2014; Özçelik and Crecente-Campo 2016 and Özçelik and Cao 2017; Sakici and Ozdemir, 2018). Taper equations generally are specific to each species, meaning that a separate set of parameters is needed for each species to identify its unique bole shape (Sharma and Parton 2004).

The Max and Burkhart's (1976) taper equation was used in this study because it is straightforward to predict height at a given stem diameter. This model included three quadratic functions, which are joined together. The result is a continuous and smooth stem profile. For a flexible model, it was relatively simple, and therefore has been frequently used to describe stem profile of many tree species (Byrne and Reed 1986; Muhairwe 1999; Jiang et al. 2005; Diéguez-Aranda et al. 2006; Schröder et al. 2014; Scolforo et al. 2018;). Constraints have been applied to ensure that the taper curve go through diameter at breast height (DBH) (Cao 2009) and/or an upper-stem diameter ( Czaplewski and McClure 1988; Cao 2009; Cao and Wang 2011; Sabatia and Burkhart 2015). Working with black pine in Turkey, Özçelik and Cao (2017) found that various fitting and adjustment strategies for taper and volume predictions did not improve performance of the taper model. However, they did not consider the possibility of adjustment based on a combined estimator, which is a weighted average of predicted stem volumes from the taper and volume models.

The objective of this study was to evaluate various combinations of methods for estimating parameters and calibrating a modified form of Max and Burkhart's (1976)'s segmented taper equation for oriental spruce.

### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

### Data

Data used in this study consist of 5859 outsidebark diameter observations from measurements of 642 destructively sampled oriental spruce trees. The data was collected from natural stands located throughout the area of distribution of oriental spruce in northeastern Turkey. Sample trees were selected to represent diameter and height distibutions, based on information from a previous inventory. Diameter at breast height (at 1.3 m above ground level, *dbh*) and total bole length were measured to the nearest 0.1 cm and 0.01 m for each tree, respectively. Stump height averaged 0.30 m. The all data ranged from 5.2 to 74.3 cm for dbh and 4.1 to 37.7 m for total height. The felled trees were sectioned at 2 m intervals starting from the stump to the tree tip. Two measures of diameter outside bark (dob) perpendicular to each other were collected and averaged to obtain dob measurement up the stem. Smalian's formula was used to calculate the volume of sections in cubic meters. The volume of the last portion (from the last measurement to the tree tip) was treated as a cone. Total tree volume (above stump) was then obtained by summing up volumes of all sections. Table 1 summarizes the relevant variables (dbh, total height and total volume) for the trees used in this study.

	,			
Groups	Variable	Min	Mean	Max
	DBH (cm)	5.80	28.29	72.60
Group I	Total height (m)	5.00	17.26	33.00
(n=321)	Total volume (m3)	0.01	0.71	3.79
· · ·	Number of sections	3.00	9.29	17.00
	DBH (cm)	5.20	27.88	74.30
Group II	Total height (m)	4.10	16.67	37.70
(n=321)	Total volume (m3)	0.01	0.71	6.07
( •=)	Number of sections	3.00	8.96	18.00

TABLE I Summary statistics of data used in this study.

#### Methods

#### Total volume equation

A myriad of equations have been developed to predict stem volume of a tree. Schumacher and Hall's (1933) model, which has been widely used for many tree species, was applied in this study to estimate total stem volume, where  $V_i$  = total stem volume of tree *i* in m<sup>3</sup>,  $D_i$  = diameter at breast height (dbh) of tree *i* in cm,  $H_i$  = total height of tree *i* in m, *a*, *b*, and *c* = regression coefficients, and  $\varepsilon_i$  = error.

$$V_i = aD_i^{\,b}H_i^{c} + \varepsilon_i \tag{1}$$

#### Taper equation

The segmented taper model by Max and Burkhart (1976) is preferred because volume can be easily integrated and height prediction from diameter can be directly obtained. A modified form of this taper equation (Cao 2009) was used in this study, where:  $\hat{y}$  predicted value of *y*,  $d_{ii}$  : outside-bark diameter in cm at height  $h_{ii}$  of location *j* 

on tree *i*,  $h_{ij}$ :height from the ground in m,  $z_{ij}: 1 - h_{ij}/H_i =$  relative height from the tree tip,  $I_k = \begin{cases} 1, if \ z_{ij} > a_k \\ 0, otherwise \end{cases}$ , k = 1, 2, and  $a_k$  and  $b_k$ : regression coefficients.

Performance was improved when  $d_{ij}$  was used as a dependent variable rather than equation 3. The regression model is: where  $\mathcal{E}_i = \text{error}$ ; Volume  $(v_i)$ from height  $h_{i1}$  to height  $h_{i2}$  is obtained by integrating the taper equation as follows. where K = 0.00007854, a constant to convert diameter in cm to area in m<sup>2</sup>.

$$\hat{y}(z_{ij}) = b_1 + b_2 z_{ij}^2 + b_3 (z_{ij} - a_1)^2 I_1 + b_4 (z_{ij} - a_2)^2 I_2$$
[2]

$$y(z_{ij}) = d_{ij}^2 / D_i^2$$
 [3]

$$d_{ij} = D_i \sqrt{b_1 z_{ij} + b_2 z_{ij}^2 + b_3 (z_{ij} - a_1)^2 I_1 + b_4 (z_{ij} - a_2)^2 I_2} + \varepsilon_{ij}$$
<sup>[4]</sup>

$$\hat{v}_{i} = KD_{i}^{2}H_{i}\left\{\left(\frac{b_{1}}{2}z_{12}^{2} + \frac{b_{2}}{3}z_{12}^{2} + \frac{b_{3}}{3}(z_{12} - a_{1})^{3}I_{12} + \frac{b_{4}}{3}(z_{12} - a_{2})^{3}I_{22}\right) - \left(\frac{b_{1}}{2}z_{11}^{2} + \frac{b_{3}}{2}z_{11}^{2} + \frac{b_{3}}{2}(z_{11} - a_{1})^{3}I_{12} + \frac{b_{4}}{3}(z_{11} - a_{2})^{3}I_{22}\right)\right\},$$
[5]

$$z_m = 1 - h_m / H_b m = 1, 2.$$
 [6]

$$I_{km} = \begin{cases} 1, if \ z_{im} > a_k \\ 0, otherwise \end{cases}, \ k = 1, 2; \ m = 1, 2.$$
<sup>[7]</sup>

In this paper, total volume is defined as volume from the stump to the tree tip, and cumulative volume is volume from the stump to where diameter is measured.

Five different methods were employed to estimate parameters  $(b_1-b_4$  and  $a_1-a_2)$  of the stem profile model.

#### Fitting method I – Optimized for taper

The least squares approach used in this method is commonly employed in fitting taper equations. The parameters were selected to minimize  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (d_{ij} - \hat{d}_{ij})^2$ , where  $n_i$  is number of diameter measurements for tree *i*, *N* is number of trees, and  $\hat{d}_{ij}$  is predicted bole diameter at location *j* on tree *i*.

#### Fitting method 2 – Optimized for cumulative volume

The goal was to produce good prediction for cumulative volume by integrating the taper model. This was done by minimizing  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (v_{ij} - \hat{v}_{ij})^2$ , where  $v_{ij}$  and  $\hat{v}_{ij}$  are observed and predicted cumulative volume of tree *i* from the stump to the *j*<sup>th</sup> diameter measurement, respectively.

# Fitting method 3 – Optimized for both taper and cumulative volume

Both  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (d_{ij} - \hat{d}_{ij})^2$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (v_{ij} - \hat{v}_{ij})^2$  were simultaneously minimized in this approach by use of seemingly unrelated regression (SAS proc MODEL, option SUR). This method endures that predictions for both diameter and cumulative volume are reliable.

# Fitting method 4 – Optimized for both taper and total volume

Similar to the previous approach, the objective was to simultaneously minimize  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (d_{ij} - \hat{d}_{ij})^2$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} (V_i - \hat{V}_i)^2$ , where  $\check{V}_i$  are predicted total volume of tree *i*, obtained by integrating the taper equation (3). Seemingly unrelated regression (SUR) was also used for optimizing both diameter and total volume.

# Fitting method 5 – Optimized for taper, cumulative volume, and total volume

In this approach, the objective was to simultaneously minimize  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (d_{ij} - \hat{d}_{ij})^2$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (v_{ij} - \hat{v}_{ij})^2$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (v_{ij} - \hat{v}_{ij})^2$  SUR was again used for this approach. In each of the five form

In each of the five fitting methods, parameters were adjusted so that predictions from the resulting taper model that match various attributes.

#### Adjustment strategy I – Unadjusted

No adjustment was made; estimates of parameters remained unchanged.

#### Adjustment strategy 2 – Adjusted to match DBH

When the Max and Burkhart (1976) taper equation is applied to breast height (h = 1.30 m), predicted diameter at this point does not necessarily equal to dbh. This adjustment procedure, proposed by Cao (2009), replaced parameter  $b_1$  with such that predicted diameter at breast height is D:

$$b_1^* = b_1 + \frac{1 - \hat{y}(z_{iBH})}{z_{iBH}}$$
[8]

$$z_{iBH} = 1 - 1.3/H_i$$
 [9]

$$b_1^* = b_1 + \frac{2(\bar{V}_i - \bar{V}_i)}{\kappa D_i^2 H_i z_{iS}^2}$$
[10]

$$z_{i\mathrm{S}} = 1 - h_{i\mathrm{S}}/H_i$$
[11]

### Adjustment strategy 3 – Adjusted to match total volume

In this strategy, replaced  $b_1$  such that the resulting total volume matches, which is predicted from the total volume equation (1), where K = 0.00007854, a constant to convert diameter in cm to area in m<sup>2</sup>,  $h_{is} =$  stump height for tree *i*.

# Adjustment strategy 4 – Adjusted to match both DBH and total volume

In this adjustment strategy, parameters  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  were replaced with  $b_1^*$  and  $b_2^*$ , respectively, so that

$$b_1^* = b_1 + \frac{1 - \hat{y}(z_{iBH})}{z_{iBH}} - (b_2^* - b_2) z_{iBH}$$
[13]

predicted diameter at breast height matches  $D_i$  and the resulting total volume matches  $\breve{V}_i$ :

Adjustment strategy 5 – Adjusted to match combined estimator for total volume

The combined estimator for total volume  $(\check{V}_i)$  is the weighted average of predicted volumes from the taper equation  $(\check{V}_i)$  and the volume model  $(\check{V}_i)$ , where w is obtained by minimizing .

This is adjustment strategy 3, with the combined estimator ( $\breve{V}_i$ ) replacing the total stem volume estimated from the total volume equation ( $\breve{V}_i$ ).

$$\vec{V}_{i} = w \vec{V}_{i} + (1 - w) \vec{V}_{i}$$
 [14]

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} (V_i - \tilde{V}_i)^2$$
[15]

# Adjustment strategy 6 – Adjusted to match DBH and combined estimator for total volume

This strategy is identical to adjustment strategy 4, with the exception that replacing to predict total volume.

#### **Model Evaluation**

In this study, a total of 30 procedures (five fitting methods  $\times$  six adjustment strategy) were evaluated. The two-fold evaluation approach was applied. For this purpose, the data were randomly divided into two groups; each containing 321 trees. The coefficients obtained by fitting data from one group were used to predict for the other group. The predicted values from both groups were then pooled to calculate evaluation statistics for both diameters and total volumes. The evaluation statistics included mean bias (MB) between measured and predicted values, mean of absolute bias (MAB), and fit index (FI). MB measures the average bias of the prediction, MAB measures the magnitude of the bias, and FI is analogous to  $R^2$  in linear regression. These statistics are computed as follows, where  $x_{ij}$  = either diameter  $(d_{ij})$  or volume  $(v_{ij})$ , and are predicted and average values of  $x_{ii}$ , respectively.

$$MD = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (x_{ij} - \hat{x}_{ij})}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} n_i}$$
[14]

$$MAD = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} |x_{ij} - \hat{x}_{ij}|}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} n_i}$$
[15]

$$FI = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (x_{ij} - \hat{x}_{ij})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_i)^2}$$
[16]

For each procedure and for each evaluation statistic, a relative rank (Poudel and Cao 2013) was computed. The best and the worst procedures in this ranking system have relative ranks of I and k, respectively, where k is number of procedures being evaluated. The remaining procedures have ranks as real numbers between I and k. Because this scheme considers both magnitude and order of the evaluation statistic, the relative ranking system should offer more information than the traditional ordinal ranks. The sum of the relative ranks from the three evaluation statistics for each procedure was calculated and then ranked again to give an overall rank for each procedure.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Total volume prediction

Table 2 shows that, based on all three statistics, total volume prediction was better from the total volume model (relative rank of 1.26) than from integrating the various taper models (relative ranks between 7.00 to 11.00). The five fitting methods attained good results in predicting total volume, explaining between 97.91% to 98.01% of the variation, but still slightly worse than 98.92% when predicted from the total volume model. Surprisingly, the inclusion of total volume in the optimization methods (fitting methods 4 and 5) did not improve total volume prediction, as compared to fitting method I that optimized only taper. The total volume model produced similar evaluation statistics to those obtained from the combined estimators (relative ranks between 1.00 to 1.30), which is a weighted average of total volume estimates from the total volume model and from integrating the taper equations.

 TABLE 2
 Evaluation statistics for total volume. Bold, italic numbers denote the best method for each criterion, whereas underlined numbers denote the worst method.

Model	Optimization	Volume	MD	MAD	FI	Relative
		calculation				гапк
	Total volum	ne	-0.0035	0.0467	0.9892	1.2622
		Integration	0.0060	0.0615	0.9795	7.0036
	Taper	Combined	-0.0036	0.0467	0.9893	1.2477
		estimator	0.0000			
		Integration	0.0237	0.0675	0.9791	11.0000
	Cumulative	Combined	0 0039	0.0467	0.9893	1.2974
	volume	estimator	0.0057			
	Taper and	Integration	0.0229	0.0661	0.9801	10.3381
	cumulative	Combined	-0 0039	0.0467	0.9893	1.2974
Taper	volume	estimator	-0.0037			
	Taper and	Integration	0.0199	0.0658	0.9796	9.9491
	total volume	Combined estimator -0.0039		0.0467	0 9893	1.2974
				0.0107	0.7075	
	Taper,	Integration	0.0226	0.0666	0.9796	10.5217
	cumulative	Combined		0.0462	0.9899	
	volume, and	Combined	-0.0037			1.0000
	total volume	estimator				

#### Diameter prediction

Table 3 shows that the modified form of Max and Burkhart (1976) model was adequate in estimating tree taper for this data set, regardless of optimization **TABLE 3** Evaluation statistics for taper. Bold, italic numbers denote the best method for each criterion, whereas

underlined numbers denote the worst method.						
Optimization	Adjustment	MD	MAD	FI	Relative rank	
	Unadiusted	0.2067	1.2232	0.9845	3.9073	
-	DBH	0.2725	1.1693	0.9844	2.8641	
-	Predicted TV	0.1449	1.2311	0.9840	3.6802	
-	DBH and			/		
	predicted TV	dTV 0.1179		0.9824	4.6297	
Taper	Combined					
	estimator	0.1445	1.2317	0.9840	3.7004	
-	DBH and					
	Combined	0.1173	1.2167	0.9824	4.6457	
	estimator					
	Unadiusted	0.5902	1.4227	0.9784	30.0000	
-	DBH	0.3992	1.2937	0.9806	16.7619	
-	Predicted TV	0.0405	1.3717	0.9794	14.6004	
-	DBH and					
Cumulative	predicted TV	0.0597	1.4183	0.9759	22.1091	
volume	Combined					
	estimator	0.0327	1.3736	0.9793	14.6662	
-	DBH and					
	Combined	0.0524	1.4219	0.9758	22.2665	
	estimator					
	Unadiusted	0.4242	1.2789	0.9839	.978	
-		0 2251	1 1 7 6 4	0 9843	2 3439	
-		0.2251	1.170	0.7073	4.2257	
Taper and		-0.1282	1.2470	0.9839	4.2257	
cumulative	DBH and	DBH and -0.1168	1.2314	0.9816	6.4519	
volume						
volume	Combined	-0.0136	1.2494	0.9838	2.0667	
-	estimator					
		0.1244	1.2346	0.9815	6.9040	
	Combined	-0.1244				
	estimator					
-	Unadjusted	0.3969	1.2721	0.9839	11.0792	
-	DBH	0.2487	1.1679	0.9845	2.1567	
-	Predicted TV	-0.0643	1.2365	0.9840	2.2404	
	DBH and	-0.0641	1.2156	0.9821	3.8918	
Iaper and	predicted TV					
Total Volume	Combined	-0.0709	1.2383	0.9840	2.4652	
-	estimator					
	DBH and			0.9820		
	Combined	-0.0705	1.2179		4.2757	
	estimator	0.4071	1 2057	0.002/	10 7/07	
		0.4261	1.2857	0.9836	12.7607	
-	Predicted TV	-0.0768	1 3224	0.9820	9 3875	
Taper,	DBH and	0.0700	1.322 1	0.7020	7.3073	
cumulative	predicted TV	d TV -0.0444		0.9793	12.2395	
volume, and $$	Combined		1.3270	0.9820		
total volume	estimator	-0.0996			10.0867	
	DBH and		1.3212	0.9793	12.8685	
	Combined	-0.0659				
	estimator	estimator				

techniques or adjustment strategies. The resulting taper equations produced a mean absolute difference ranging from 1.17 cm to 1.42 cm, and explained between 97.59% and 98.45% of the variation in diameter.

Without adjustment, the taper equation with parameters optimized only for cumulative volume (fitting method 2) gave the worst prediction for taper (Table 3). On the other hand, the taper optimization (fitting method I) fared better than the other fitting methods, as expected.

The DBH adjustment constrained the taper curve to go through DBH and therefore resulted in better taper prediction than did the unadjusted taper equations (Table 3). In fact, the DBH adjustment for fitting method 5 (optimized for taper, cumulative volume, and total volume) was the best in predicting taper. The other adjustment strategies, with some exceptions, also improve taper prediction, compared to the unadjusted strategy.

### Cumulative volume prediction

All of the taper equations resulting from various fitting and adjustment strategies yielded acceptable results in predicting cumulative volume, from 94.66% to 98.74% in fit index, and from 0.04 m<sup>3</sup> to 0.12 m<sup>3</sup> in mean absolute deviation (Table 4).

Table 4 also shows that, without adjustment, the taper optimization method (fitting method 1) attained the higher relative rank (1.51) than did the rest of the fitting methods (ranging from 2.48 to 3.07 in ranks).

The DBH adjustment did not help to predict cumulative volume, resulting in the worst relative ranks (23.70 to 30.00) among all methods (Table 4). Conversely, adjustment for total volume (adjustment strategies 4 and 5), by use of either prediction from a total volume model or a combine estimator, did improve the cumulative volume prediction. The improvement was enhanced when this adjustment was coupled with the DBH adjustment (adjustment strategies 4 and 6).

## Diameter and cumulative volume prediction

Results from Tables 3 and 4 shows that most fitting/ adjustment procedures tended to favor either cumulative volume or taper prediction, but not both. In order to evaluate each procedure based on its ability to predict both taper and volume, we summed the relative ranks for taper and cumulative volume. The sum of the relative ranks for taper and cumulative volume for each procedure was then ranked to yield an overall rank (Table 5).

Cao et al. (1980) found that a taper equation (that was optimized for taper), while being excellent in predicting predicted taper, did not predict cumulative

volume as well as a volume ratio model. Results from this study tell a different story. The taper model in this study with parameters optimized for cumulative volume (fitting method 2) can be considered somewhat similar to a volume ratio model, yet ranked lower in volume prediction (3.07) than the model optimized for taper

 TABLE 4
 Evaluation statistics for cumulative volume. Bold, italic numbers denote the best method for each criterion, whereas underlined numbers denote the worst method.

Ontimization	Adjustment	MD	MAD	FI	Relative
Optimization	Adjustment				rank
	Unadjusted	-0.0008	0.0445	0.9850	1.5077
-	DBH	0.1219	0.1225	0.9466	29.0472
	Predicted TV	-0.0027	0.0447	0.9847	1.7500
-	DBH and				
_	predicted TV	0.0037	0.0421	0.9864	1.1377
Taper -	Combined				
	combined	-0.0027	0.0448	0.9846	1.7844
-	DBH and				
	Combined	0.0034	0.0421	0 9944	1 1207
	Combined 0.0030		0.0721	0.700-	1.1277
	estimator	0.01/0	0.0402	0.0057	2 0724
-		0.0100	0.0403	0.7657	3.0/34
-		0.1109	0.1123	0.9611	23.69/3
Cumulative -	Predicted I V	0.0019	0.0436	0.9865	1.1506
volume	DBH and	0.0063	0.0431	0.9871	1.3057
-	predicted TV	0.0000	0.0101	0.7071	
	Combined	0 0017	0 0436	0 9845	1 1347
-	estimator	0.0017	0.0450	0.7005	1.1.57/
	DBH and				
	Combined	0.0061	0.0431	0.9871	1.2898
	estimator				
	Unadiusted	0.0137	0.0472	0.9860	2.6288
-	DBH	0.1237	0.1242	0.9439	30.0000
-	Predicted TV	_0.0011	0.0430	0.9865	1.0156
-		-0.0011	0.0150	0.7005	1.0150
Taper and	DBH and	0.0054	0.0419	0.9874	1.0239
cumulative -	predicted IV				
volume	Combined	-0.0013	0 0430	0 9865	1 0315
-	estimator	0.0010		0.7005	
	DBH and				
	Combined	0.0052	0.0419	0.9874	1.0080
	estimator				
	Unadjusted	0.0107	0.0470	0.9855	2.4791
-	DBH	0.1219	0.1225	0.9463	29.1147
-	Predicted TV	-0.0017	0.0435	0.9858	1.2803
	DBH and	0.0045	0.0410	0 0070	1.0424
Taper and	predicted TV 0.0045		0.0117	0.7070	1.0727
total volumo	Combined	Combined estimator -0.0019		0.9858	
total volume	estimator				1.2962
-	DBH and				
	Combined	0 0044	14 0 0419	0 9870	1 0345
	combined	Combined 0.0044		0.9670	1.0515
	estimator	0.0120	0.0476	0.0057	2 7510
Taper, cumulative volume, and total volume		0.0130	0.0476	0.7657	28 6305
	Predicted TV	-0.0005	0.0445	0.9863	1 1914
		-0.0005	0.0115	0.7005	1.1711
				0.9872	1.1165
	predicted IV				
	Combined	-0.0012	0.0443	0.9865	1,1782
	estimator	stimator			
	DBH and				
	Combined	0.0045	0.0423	0.9874	1.0000
	estimator				

(1.51). Indeed, the taper optimization method produced the best prediction of both taper and cumulative volume.

Reed and Green (1984) found that simultaneous optimizing for both taper and cumulative volume produced smaller total system squared error, but did not show how the system behaved separately for taper and volume. In this study, the method of optimizing for both taper and cumulative volume (fitting method 3) did not improve but rather worsened predictions for taper and volume, as compared to optimizing for taper only.

**TABLE 5** Overall comparisons of optimization and adjustment methods. Bold, italic numbers denote the best method for each criterion, whereas underlined numbers denote the worst method

Unadjusted         3.9073         1.5077         2.7983           DBH         2.8641         29.0472         23.3650           Predicted TV         3.6802         1.7500         2.8101           DBH and predicted TV         4.6297         1.1377         3.0719           Combined estimator         3.7004         1.7844         2.8525           Unadjusted         30.0000         3.0734         24.2671           DBH and Combined         4.6457         1.1297         3.0781           estimator         Unadjusted         30.0000         3.0734         24.2671           DBH and Combined         22.1091         1.3057         16.7700           Predicted TV         14.6004         1.1506         10.8212           DBH and Combined         22.2665         1.2898         16.8798           estimator         DBH and Combined         22.2665         1.2898         16.8798           estimator         DBH and Combined         22.2665         1.2898         16.8798           estimator         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000         23.7008           Predicted TV         4.2257         1.0156         2.6635         2.6635           DBH and Combined         2.0667	Optimization	Adjustment	Taper Ranks	Volume Ranks	Overall Rank	
DBH         2.8641         29.0472         23.3650           Predicted TV         3.6802         1.7500         2.8101           DBH and predicted TV         4.6297         1.1377         3.0719           Combined estimator         3.7004         1.7844         2.8525           DBH and Combined         4.6457         1.1297         3.0781           estimator         Unadjusted         30.0000         3.0734         24.2671           DBH and Combined         16.7619         23.6973         30.0000           Predicted TV         14.6004         1.1506         10.8212           DBH and predicted TV         22.1091         1.3057         16.7700           Predicted TV         22.1091         1.3057         16.7700           DBH and Combined         22.2665         1.2898         16.8798           estimator         20.81         2.4339         30.0000         23.7008           Predicted TV         4.2257         1.0156         2.6635           DBH and combined         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000           estimator         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000           estimator         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000           es		Unadjusted	3.9073	1.5077	2.7983	
Taper         Predicted TV         3.6802         1.7500         2.8101           DBH and predicted TV         4.6297         1.1377         3.0719           Combined estimator         3.7004         1.7844         2.8525           DBH and Combined         4.6457         1.1297         3.0781           estimator         Unadjusted         30.0000         3.0734         24.2671           DBH         16.7619         23.6973         30.0000           Predicted TV         14.6004         1.1506         10.8212           DBH and Combined         22.1091         1.3057         16.7700           Predicted TV         22.1091         1.3057         16.7700           DBH and Combined         22.2665         1.2898         16.8798           estimator         11.9781         2.6288         9.9332           DBH and Combined         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000           estimator		DBH	2.8641	29.0472	23.3650	
Taper         DBH and predicted TV Combined estimator         3.7004         1.1377         3.0719           Gombined estimator         3.7004         1.7844         2.8525           DBH and Combined         4.6457         1.1297         3.0781           estimator         Unadjusted         30.0000         3.0734         24.2671           DBH         16.7619         23.6973         30.0000           Predicted TV         14.6004         1.1506         10.8212           DBH and predicted TV         22.1091         1.3057         16.7700           Combined         22.1091         1.3057         16.7700           Predicted TV         22.2665         1.2898         16.8798           estimator         Unadjusted         11.9781         2.6288         9.9332           DBH and Combined         2.3439         30.0000         23.7008           Predicted TV         4.2257         1.0156         2.6635           DBH and cumulative volume         DBH and Combined         6.4519         1.0239         4.3979           Predicted TV         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000         2.8635           Taper and DBH and Combined         6.9040         1.0080         4.7365 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Predicted TV</td><td>3.6802</td><td>1.7500</td><td>2.8101</td></t<>		Predicted TV	3.6802	1.7500	2.8101	
Combined estimator         3.7004         1.7844         2.8525           DBH and Combined         4.6457         1.1297         3.0781           estimator         0.0000         3.0734         24.2671           DBH         16.7619         23.6973         30.0000           Predicted TV         14.6004         1.1506         10.8212           DBH and predicted TV         22.1091         1.3057         16.7700           DBH and Combined         22.2665         1.2898         16.8798           estimator         0BH and Combined         22.2665         1.2898         16.8798           estimator         0BH a.3439         30.0000         23.7008           Predicted TV         4.2257         1.0156         2.6635           DBH and cumulative         predicted TV         4.2257         1.0156         2.6635           DBH and Combined         6.9040         1.0080         4.7365           estimator         0BH and Combined         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000           estimator         0BH and Combined         2.4652         1.2921         1.192           DBH and Combined         10.0792         2.4791         9.1192         0BH and         1.3279           <	Tapor	DBH and predicted TV	4.6297	1.1377	3.0719	
DBH and Combined         Combined         4.6457         1.1297         3.0781           estimator         Unadjusted         30.0000         3.0734         24.2671           DBH         16.7619         23.6973         30.0000           Predicted TV         14.6004         1.1506         10.8212           DBH and volume         DBH and predicted TV         22.1091         1.3057         16.7700           Combined         14.6662         1.1347         10.8600         estimator           DBH and Combined         22.2665         1.2898         16.8798           estimator         DBH         2.3439         30.0000         23.7008           Predicted TV         4.2257         1.0156         2.6635           DBH         2.3439         30.0000         23.7008           Predicted TV         4.2257         1.0156         2.6635           DBH and cumulative         predicted TV         4.2257         1.0315         1.0000           estimator         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000         estimator           DBH and Combined         6.9040         1.0080         4.7365           estimator         2.4667         1.1147         22.8683           Predict	тарег	Combined estimator	3.7004	1.7844	2.8525	
Cumulative volume         Unadjusted DBH         30.0000         3.0734         24.2671           DBH         16.7619         23.6973         30.0000           Predicted TV         14.6004         1.1506         10.8212           DBH and predicted TV         22.1091         1.3057         16.7700           Combined         14.6662         1.1347         10.8600           estimator         Unadjusted         11.9781         2.6288         9.9332           DBH and combined         22.2665         1.2898         16.8798           estimator         Unadjusted         11.9781         2.6288         9.9332           DBH and cumulative volume         DBH and feredicted TV         4.2257         1.0156         2.6635           DBH and cumulative         predicted TV         4.2257         1.0156         2.6635           DBH and cumulative         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000           Predicted TV         2.2404         1.0800         4.7365           estimator         10.0792         2.4791         9.1192           DBH and combined         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           Predicted TV         2.8918         1.0424         2.4251           DBH and com		DBH and Combined estimator	4.6457	1.1297	3.0781	
Cumulative volume         DBH         16.7619         23.6973         30.0000           Predicted TV         14.6004         1.1506         10.8212           DBH and predicted TV         22.1091         1.3057         16.7700           Combined         14.6662         1.1347         10.8600           estimator         DBH and Combined         22.2665         1.2898         16.8798           estimator         Unadjusted         11.9781         2.6288         9.9332           DBH         2.3439         30.0000         23.7008           Predicted TV         4.2257         1.0156         2.6635           DBH and cumulative         DBH and Predicted TV         4.2257         1.0156         2.6635           DBH and cumulative         0.0000         23.7008         1.0000         23.7008           Predicted TV         4.2257         1.0156         2.6635         1.0000         2.6635           DBH and cumulative         0.0040         1.00315         1.0000         2.6635           DBH and Combined         6.9040         1.0080         4.7365           estimator         2.4657         2.4791         9.1192           DBH and Combined         2.4652         1.2962		Unadiusted	30.0000	3.0734	24.2671	
Cumulative volume         Predicted TV         14.6004         1.1506         10.8212           DBH and predicted TV         22.1091         1.3057         16.7700           Combined         14.6662         1.1347         10.8600           estimator         DBH and Combined         22.2065         1.2898         16.8798           estimator         Unadjusted         11.9781         2.6288         9.9332           DBH and cumulative         Unadjusted         11.9781         2.6288         9.9332           DBH and cumulative         DBH and predicted TV         4.2257         1.0156         2.6635           DBH and cumulative         0.0000         23.7008         1.0239         4.3979           Predicted TV         4.2257         1.0156         2.6635           DBH and cumulative         0.6667         1.0315         1.0000           estimator         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000           estimator         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000           BH and Combined         6.9040         1.0080         4.7365           estimator         2.4791         9.1192         DBH           DBH and Combined         3.8918         1.0424         2.4251		DBH	16.7619	23.6973	30.0000	
Cumulative volume         Instance         Instance         Instance           DBH and volume         DBH and volume         22.1091         1.3057         16.7700           Predicted TV Combined         14.6662         1.1347         10.8600           estimator         DBH and Combined         22.2665         1.2898         16.8798           Unadjusted         11.9781         2.6288         9.9332           DBH         2.3439         30.0000         23.7008           Predicted TV         4.2257         1.0156         2.6635           Taper and cumulative volume         DBH and predicted TV         6.4519         1.0239         4.3979           DBH and combined         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000         1.0000           DBH and combined         6.9040         1.0080         4.7365           estimator         Unadjusted         11.0792         2.4791         9.1192           DBH and combined         3.8918         1.0424         2.4251           Taper and Taper and Total Volume         DBH and predicted TV         2.2404         1.2803         1.3279           DBH and combined         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148         1.6454         2.4251           Taper, cumulative vol		Predicted TV	14.6004	1.1506	10.8212	
Cumulative volume         Definition         22.1091         1.3057         16.7700           volume         predicted TV Combined         14.6662         1.1347         10.8600           BH and Combined         22.2665         1.2898         16.8798           estimator         Unadjusted         11.9781         2.6288         9.9332           DBH         2.3439         30.0000         23.7008           Predicted TV         4.2257         1.0156         2.6635           Taper and cumulative volume         DBH and estimator         6.4519         1.0239         4.3979           DBH and combined         6.9040         1.0080         4.7365           estimator         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000           DBH and Combined         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000           estimator         2.4791         9.1192         DBH           DBH and Combined         2.2404         1.2803         1.3279           DBH and predicted TV         2.2404         1.2803         1.3279           DBH and combined         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           BBH and Combined         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and Combined         10.000		DBH and				
volume         predicted TV           Combined         14.6662         1.1347         10.8600           DBH and         Combined         22.2665         1.2898         16.8798           estimator         Unadjusted         11.9781         2.6288         9.9332           DBH         2.3439         30.0000         23.7008           Predicted TV         4.2257         1.0156         2.6635           Taper and         DBH and         6.4519         1.0239         4.3979           volume         predicted TV         4.2257         1.0156         2.6635           DBH and         6.4519         1.0239         4.3979           cumulative         predicted TV         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000           estimator         DBH and         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000           DBH and         Combined         6.9040         1.0080         4.7365           estimator         DBH         2.1567         29.1147         22.8683           Predicted TV         2.2404         1.2803         1.3279           DBH and         predicted TV         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and         Combined         2.4652 </td <td>Cumulative</td> <td>prodicted TV</td> <td>22.1091</td> <td>1.3057</td> <td colspan="2">16.7700</td>	Cumulative	prodicted TV	22.1091	1.3057	16.7700	
Loombined         14.6662         1.1347         10.8600           estimator         DBH and         Combined         22.2665         1.2898         16.8798           Taper and cumulative volume         Unadjusted         11.9781         2.6288         9.9332           Taper and cumulative volume         DBH and         6.4519         1.0156         2.6635           DBH and         6.4519         1.0239         4.3979           DBH and         6.4519         1.0315         1.0000           estimator         DBH and         6.4519         1.0315         1.0000           estimator         DBH and         Combined         6.9040         1.0080         4.7365           estimator         DBH and         DBH and         DBH and         2.8683         1.3279           DBH and         2.1567         29.1147         22.8683         1.3279           DBH and         2.8918         1.0424         2.4251           DBH and         2.8918         1.0424         2.4251           DBH and         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and         Combined         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and         DBH and         Combined <td>volume</td> <td>Combined</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	volume	Combined				
Taper and combined         Unadjusted         11.9781         2.6288         9.9332           Taper and cumulative volume         Unadjusted         11.9781         2.6288         9.9332           Taper and cumulative volume         DBH         2.3439         30.0000         23.7008           Predicted TV         4.2257         1.0156         2.6635           DBH and cumulative volume         DBH and predicted TV         1.0239         4.3979           DBH and combined         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000           estimator         0.080         4.7365           estimator         0.080         4.7365           estimator         0.080         4.7365           Unadjusted         11.0792         2.4791         9.1192           DBH and combined         2.1567         29.1147         22.8683           Predicted TV         2.2404         1.2803         1.3279           DBH and predicted TV         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and combined         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and Combined         12.7607         2.7518         10.6361           DBH and combined         12.2395         1.11914         6.8066		combined	14.6662	1.1347	10.8600	
Combined         22.2665         1.2898         16.8798           estimator         Unadjusted         11.9781         2.6288         9.9332           DBH         2.3439         30.0000         23.7008           Predicted TV         4.2257         1.0156         2.6635           DBH and         6.4519         1.0239         4.3979           predicted TV         Combined         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000           estimator         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000         estimator           DBH and         Combined         6.9040         1.0080         4.7365           estimator         Unadjusted         11.0792         2.4791         9.1192           DBH and         2.1567         29.1147         22.8683           Predicted TV         2.2404         1.2803         1.3279           DBH and         3.8918         1.0424         2.4251           DBH and         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and         Combined         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and         DBH         10.000         28.6305         21.5946           Predicted TV         9.3875         1.1914		DBH and				
Taper and cumulative volume         Unadjusted DBH         11.9781         2.6288         9.9332           Taper and cumulative volume         DBH         2.3439         30.0000         23.7008           Predicted TV         4.2257         1.0156         2.6635           DBH and cumulative volume         DBH and predicted TV         1.0239         4.3979           Volume         Ombined estimator         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000           DBH and Combined         6.9040         1.0080         4.7365           estimator         Unadjusted         11.0792         2.4791         9.1192           DBH and Combined         6.9040         1.0080         4.7365           estimator         Unadjusted         11.0792         2.4791         9.1192           DBH and predicted TV         2.2404         1.2803         1.3279           DBH and predicted TV         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and combined         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and Combined         12.7607         2.7518         10.6361           DBH and Combined         12.2395         1.1165         8.9622           predicted TV         9.3875         1.1914         6.8066 </td <td></td> <td>Combined</td> <td>22 2665</td> <td>1 2898</td> <td>16 8798</td>		Combined	22 2665	1 2898	16 8798	
Unadjusted         11.9781         2.6288         9.9332           DBH         2.3439         30.0000         23.7008           Predicted TV         4.2257         1.0156         2.6635           Taper and cumulative volume         DBH and predicted TV         6.4519         1.0239         4.3979           Volume         Combined estimator         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000           DBH and Combined         6.9040         1.0080         4.7365           estimator         Unadjusted         11.0792         2.4791         9.1192           DBH and Combined         6.9040         1.0080         4.7365           estimator         Unadjusted         11.0792         2.4791         9.1192           DBH and Combined         2.1567         29.1147         22.8683           Predicted TV         2.2404         1.2803         1.3279           DBH and predicted TV         3.8918         1.0424         2.4251           Combined estimator         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and Combined         12.7607         2.7518         10.6361           DBH and Combined         12.0395         1.1914         6.8066           DBH and DBH and         12.2395 </td <td></td> <td>estimator</td> <td>22.2005</td> <td>1.2070</td> <td colspan="2">10.07 70</td>		estimator	22.2005	1.2070	10.07 70	
DBH         2.3439         30.0000         23.7008           Predicted TV         4.2257         1.0156         2.6635           Taper and cumulative volume         DBH and predicted TV         6.4519         1.0239         4.3979           Combined estimator         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000           DBH and Combined         6.9040         1.0080         4.7365           estimator         0BH and Combined         6.9040         1.0080         4.7365           Predicted TV         2.4791         9.1192         0.000         0.000         1.0239         1.0239         1.0000           DBH and Combined         6.9040         1.0080         4.7365         1.0000         1.0080         4.7365           Predicted TV         0.2404         1.2803         1.3279         0.000         1.0239         1.192           DBH and predicted TV         2.2404         1.2803         1.3279         0.000         1.3279           DBH and predicted TV         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148         0.001         0.001         0.001         0.001         0.001         0.001         0.0066         0.001         0.0066         0.0066         0.001         0.001         0.0066         0.001		Unadiusted	11.9781	2.6288	9.9332	
Predicted TV         4.2257         1.0156         2.6635           Taper and cumulative volume         DBH and predicted TV         6.4519         1.0239         4.3979           Volume         Combined estimator         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000           DBH and Combined         6.9040         1.0080         4.7365           DBH and Combined         6.9040         1.0080         4.7365           estimator         Unadjusted         11.0792         2.4791         9.1192           DBH         2.1567         29.1147         22.8683           Predicted TV         2.2404         1.2803         1.3279           DBH and predicted TV         3.8918         1.0424         2.4251           Total Volume         Combined         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           estimator         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and Combined         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and Combined         10.000         28.6305         21.5946           Predicted TV         9.3875         1.1914         6.8066           DBH and total volume         12.2395         1.1165         8.9622           Combined total volume         10.0867 </td <td></td> <td>DBH</td> <td>2.3439</td> <td>30.0000</td> <td>23.7008</td>		DBH	2.3439	30.0000	23.7008	
Taper and cumulative volume         DBH and predicted TV         6.4519         1.0239         4.3979           volume         Combined estimator         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000           DBH and Combined         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000           DBH and Combined         6.9040         1.0080         4.7365           estimator         0         11.0792         2.4791         9.1192           DBH         2.1567         29.1147         22.8683           Predicted TV         2.2404         1.2803         1.3279           DBH and predicted TV         3.8918         1.0424         2.4251           Taper and Total Volume         OBH and estimator         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           estimator         0.8452         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and Combined         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           estimator         0.000         28.6305         21.5946           Predicted TV         9.3875         1.1914         6.8066           DBH and total volume         12.2395         1.1165         8.9622           DBH and Combined         10.0867         1.1782         7.3391           DBH and Combined         12.8685		Predicted TV	4.2257	1.0156	2.6635	
cumulative volume         predicted TV         6.4519         1.0239         4.3979           volume         Combined estimator         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000           DBH and Combined         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000           DBH and Combined         6.9040         1.0080         4.7365           estimator         0BH         2.1567         29.1147         22.8683           Predicted TV         2.2404         1.2803         1.3279           DBH and predicted TV         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           estimator         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           estimator         DBH and Combined         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           estimator         0BH and Combined         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           estimator         0BH and Combined         2.7607         2.7518         10.6361           DBH and Combined         12.7607         2.7518         10.6361           DBH and total volume         12.2395         1.1165         8.9622           DBH and Combined         10.0867         1.1782         7.3391           DBH and Combined         12.8685         1.0000         9.3600	Taper and	DBH and			4 2 2 7 2	
Volume         Combined estimator         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000           DBH and Combined         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000           DBH and Combined         6.9040         1.0080         4.7365           estimator         1.0792         2.4791         9.1192           DBH         2.1567         29.1147         22.8683           Predicted TV         2.2404         1.2803         1.3279           DBH and predicted TV         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           estimator         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and predicted TV         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and Combined         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           Unadjusted         12.7607         2.7518         10.6361           DBH and Combined         12.7607         2.7518         10.6361           DBH and         12.2395         1.1914         6.8066           DBH and total volume         10.0867         1.1782         7.3391           DBH and Combined         12.8685         1.0000         9.3600	cumulative	predicted TV	6.4519	1.0239	4.37/7	
Volume         Johnshind         2.0667         1.0315         1.0000           estimator         DBH and         Combined         6.9040         1.0080         4.7365           estimator         estimator         1.0080         4.7365         estimator         9.1192           DBH and         Combined         6.9040         1.0080         4.7365           estimator         DBH         2.1567         29.1147         22.8683           Predicted TV         2.2404         1.2803         1.3279           DBH and         predicted TV         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           estimator         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and         Combined         4.2757         1.0345         2.7170           estimator         Unadjusted         12.7607         2.7518         10.6361           DBH and         Combined         12.7607         2.7518         10.6361           DBH and         10000         28.6305         21.5946           Predicted TV         9.3875         1.1914         6.8066           DBH and         12.2395         1.1165         8.9622           volume, and         Combined         10.0867         1.1	volumo	Combined				
Taper and total volume, cumulative volume, and total volume         Unadjusted         11.0792         2.4791         9.1192           DBH and Combined         6.9040         1.0080         4.7365           estimator         DBH         2.1567         29.1147         22.8683           Predicted TV         2.2404         1.2803         1.3279           DBH and predicted TV         2.2404         1.2803         1.3279           DBH and predicted TV         2.24652         1.2962         1.5148           BBH and Combined         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and Combined         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and Combined         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and Combined         2.7170         estimator         10.0345         2.7170           Unadjusted         12.7607         2.7518         10.6361         10.6361           DBH and Combined         12.7807         1.1914         6.8066         6.8066           DBH and Combined         12.2395         1.1165         8.9622         1.5946           DBH and Combined         10.0867         1.1782         7.3391         0.814 and           Combined         10.0867         1.	volume	octimator	2.0667	1.0315	1.0000	
Image: Combined Combined Combined 6.9040         1.0080         4.7365           estimator         Unadjusted         11.0792         2.4791         9.1192           DBH         2.1567         29.1147         22.8683           Predicted TV         2.2404         1.2803         1.3279           DBH and predicted TV         2.2404         1.2803         1.3279           DBH and predicted TV         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and combined         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and combined         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and combined         4.2757         1.0345         2.7170           estimator         Unadjusted         12.7607         2.7518         10.6361           DBH         1.0000         28.6305         21.5946           Predicted TV         9.3875         1.1914         6.8066           DBH and predicted TV         9.3875         1.1914         6.8066           DBH and predicted TV         2.2395         1.1165         8.9622           volume, and total volume         DBH and combined         10.0867         1.1782         7.3391           DBH and Combined         12.8685         1.0000						
Taper and Taper and Taper, cumulative volume, and total volume         Unadjusted DBH predicted TV         1.0000         2.0000         1.0000         1.0000         2.0000         1.0000         1.0000         2.0000         1.			6 9040	1.0080	4.7365	
Taper and total volume, and total volume         Unadjusted DBH predicted TV         11.0792         2.4791         9.1192           DBH         2.1567         29.1147         22.8683           Predicted TV         2.2404         1.2803         1.3279           DBH and predicted TV         3.8918         1.0424         2.4251           Total Volume         Combined estimator         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and combined         2.4652         1.0345         2.7170           estimator         Unadjusted         12.7607         2.7518         10.6361           DBH and combined         12.7607         2.7518         10.6361           DBH and total volume         DBH and predicted TV         9.3875         1.1914         6.8066           DBH and total volume         10.0867         1.1782         7.3391           DBH and combined         12.8685         1.0000         9.3600		estimator	6.7040			
Taper and total volume, and total volume         Unadjusted DBH Predicted TV Combined estimator         1.0772 2.4771         2.1771         7.1772           DBH         2.1567         29.1147         22.8683         1.3279           DBH and predicted TV         2.2404         1.2803         1.3279           DBH and predicted TV         3.8918         1.0424         2.4251           Combined estimator         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and Combined         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and Combined         2.4652         1.0345         2.7170           estimator         Unadjusted         12.7607         2.7518         10.6361           DBH         1.0000         28.6305         21.5946           Predicted TV         9.3875         1.1914         6.8066           DBH and total volume         12.2395         1.1165         8.9622           DBH and combined         10.0867         1.1782         7.3391           DBH and Combined         12.8685         1.0000         9.3600		Unadjusted	11.0792	2 4791	9 1 1 9 2	
Taper and Total Volume         DBH and predicted TV         2.2404         1.2803         1.3279           DBH and predicted TV         3.8918         1.0424         2.4251           Total Volume         Combined estimator         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and combined         2.4652         1.0345         2.7170           estimator         DBH and Combined         2.4652         1.0345         2.7170           estimator         Unadjusted         12.7607         2.7518         10.6361           DBH         1.0000         28.6305         21.5946           Predicted TV         9.3875         1.1914         6.8066           DBH and total volume         12.2395         1.1165         8.9622           DBH and total volume         DBH and Combined         10.0867         1.1782         7.3391           DBH and Combined         12.8685         1.0000         9.3600			2 1567	2.4771	22 8683	
Taper and Total Volume         Indicate transmission predicted TV         3.8918         1.0424         2.4251           Total Volume         Combined estimator         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and Combined         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and Combined         2.4652         1.0345         2.7170           estimator         Unadjusted         12.7607         2.7518         10.6361           DBH         1.0000         28.6305         21.5946           Predicted TV         9.3875         1.1914         6.8066           DBH and total volume         predicted TV         12.2395         1.1165         8.9622           DBH and total volume         DBH and Combined         10.0867         1.1782         7.3391           DBH and Combined         12.8685         1.0000         9.3600		Predicted TV	2.1307	1 2803	1 3279	
Taper and Total Volume         Definition predicted TV         3.8918         1.0424         2.4251           Total Volume         Combined estimator         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and Combined         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and Combined         4.2757         1.0345         2.7170           estimator         Unadjusted         12.7607         2.7518         10.6361           DBH         1.0000         28.6305         21.5946           Predicted TV         9.3875         1.1914         6.8066           DBH and total volume         predicted TV         2.395         1.1165         8.9622           DBH and total volume         DBH and Combined         10.0867         1.1782         7.3391           DBH and Combined         12.8685         1.0000         9.3600		DBH and	2.2101	1.2005	1.3277	
Total Volume         Combined estimator         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and Combined         2.4652         1.2962         1.5148           DBH and Combined         4.2757         1.0345         2.7170           estimator	Taper and	predicted TV	3.8918	1.0424	2.4251	
estimator         2.4052         1.2702         1.3140           DBH and         Combined         4.2757         1.0345         2.7170           estimator         estimator         2.7518         10.6361           Unadjusted         12.7607         2.7518         10.6361           DBH         1.0000         28.6305         21.5946           Predicted TV         9.3875         1.1914         6.8066           DBH and         12.2395         1.1165         8.9622           volume, and total volume         predicted TV         0.0867         1.1782         7.3391           DBH and         Combined         10.0867         1.1782         7.3391           DBH and         Combined         12.8685         1.0000         9.3600	Total Volume	Combined	2 4652	1 2962	1 51/9	
DBH and         Combined         4.2757         1.0345         2.7170           estimator		estimator	2.7032	1.2702	1.5140	
Combined         4.2757         1.0345         2.7170           estimator		DBH and				
estimator           Taper, cumulative volume, and total volume         Unadjusted 12.7607 2.7518 10.6361 DBH 1.0000 28.6305 21.5946           Predicted TV         9.3875 1.1914         6.8066           DBH and predicted TV         12.2395         1.1165         8.9622           Combined estimator         10.0867         1.1782         7.3391           DBH and combined         12.8685         1.0000         9.3600		Combined	4.2757	1.0345	2.7170	
Taper, cumulative volume, and total volume         DBH DBH and estimator         12.7607         2.7518         10.6361           DBH         1.0000         28.6305         21.5946           Predicted TV         9.3875         1.1914         6.8066           DBH and combined         12.2395         1.1165         8.9622           DBH and combined         10.0867         1.1782         7.3391           DBH and Combined         12.8685         1.0000         9.3600		estimator	12 7/07	0.7510	10 (2()	
Taper, cumulative volume, and total volume         Predicted TV DBH and estimator         1.0000         20.8303         21.3346           1.1914         6.8066         0.8067         1.1914         6.8066         0.8067           DBH and total volume         10.0867         1.1782         7.3391         0.000         0.000         9.3600	Taper, cumulative volume, and total volume		12.7607	2./518	10.6361	
Taper, cumulative volume, and total volumePredicted TV9.36731.19148.0000DBH and predicted TV12.23951.11658.9622Combined estimator10.08671.17827.3391DBH and combined12.86851.00009.3600			0.2075	28.6305	<u></u> 	
cumulative volume, and total volumeDBH and predicted TV12.23951.11658.9622Combined estimator10.08671.17827.3391DBH and Combined12.86851.00009.3600		Predicted I V	9.38/5	1.1714	6.8066	
volume, and total volume BH and Combined 12.8685 1.0000 9.3600			12.2395	1.1165	8.9622	
total volume Combined 10.0867 1.1782 7.3391 DBH and Combined 12.8685 1.0000 9.3600		predicted TV				
DBH and Combined 12.8685 1.0000 9.3600		Combined	10.0867	1.1782	7.3391	
DBH and Combined 12.8685 1.0000 9.3600		estimator				
Complified 12.6665 1.0000 9.3600		DBH and	12 9495	1 0000	9 3400	
estimator		estimator	12.0003	1.0000	9.3600	

Compared to the unadjusted strategy, the DBH adjustment (adjustment strategy 2) resulted in better evaluation statistics for taper prediction, but worse statistics for prediction of cumulative volume. Adjustment for predicted total volume (from either a total volume model or a combined estimator), in many cases, bettered prediction of both taper and cumulative volume. Adding DBH to the above adjustment actually lowered the overall ranks (Table 5).

The worst overall procedure (rank of 30.00), which is the cumulative volume optimization coupled with DBH adjustment, gave poor prediction for both taper and volume. The best overall rank came from a taper equation optimized for taper and cumulative volume (fitting method 3) which was then adjusted for the combined estimator (adjustment strategy 5). This procedure achieved relative ranks of 2.07 and 1.03 for predicting taper and cumulative volume, respectively.

Finally, the proposed taper and stem volume models for optimization alternatives were refit to the entire data set using all five fitting methods (Table 6). Figure 1 shows the observed data, overlaid with predictions from the taper model of fitting method 5.

**TABLE 6** Estimates of parameters (and standard errors) for taper and volume equations of different fitting methods based on all sample data.

Fitting	Parameters					
methods	Ь,	b,	b <sub>3</sub>	b₄	а,	<b>a</b> <sub>2</sub>
-	0.1060	1.5561	-1.7349	53.2930	0.2452	0.9187
Taper	(0.0115)	(0.0642)	(0.0586)	(6.2852)	(0.0099)	(0.0042)
Cumulative	0.2139	1.4019	-1.9383	1.0310	0.3569	0.4893
volume	(0.1341)	(0.5544)	(10.087)	(10.218)	(0.4214)	(0.7409)
Taper and	0.0865	1.9549	-1.7782	51.5390	0.2472	0.9136
volume	(0.0093)	(0.0504)	(0.0452)	(4.3554)	(0.0078)	(0.0032)
Taper	0.0902	1.9360	-1.7187	56.0283	0.2460	0.9189
volume	(0.0087)	(0.0477)	(0.0436)	(4.8487)	(0.0076)	(0.0031)
Taper,						
cumulative	0.0856	1.9613	-1.7736	54.9194	0.2452	0.9172
volume, and total	(0.0085)	(0.0469)	(0.0418)	(4.4743)	(0.0071)	(0.0029)
volume						



FIGURE I Graph of observed data and predictions from the taper model optimized for taper, cumulative volume, and total volume.

## CONCLUSIONS

In this study, a simpler form of the Max and Burkhart's (1976) taper model was used to predict taper and stem volume of oriental spruce. A total of thirty procedures was evaluated, including five fitting methods (optimized for taper, cumulative volume, taper and cumulative volume, taper and total volume, and taper and both cumulative and total volumes) and six adjustment strategies (unadjusted, and adjusted to match DBH, predicted total volume predicted from either a total volume model or a combined estimator, and DBH and predicted total volume). Results of this study indicated that, without adjustment, the model with parameters optimized for taper gave good prediction for both taper and cumulative volume. Mixed results were obtained when various adjustment strategies were used on different fitting techniques. The overall best-ranked procedure for estimating both taper and stem volume was the model optimized for taper and cumulative volume and then adjusted to fit the combined estimator.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We give special thanks to The General Directorate of Forestry for its contribution to field work. Partial support for data analysis was received from the National Institute of Food and Agriculture, US Department of Agriculture, McIntire-Stennis project LAB94379.

## REFERENCES

- ALEMDAG, I.S. A ratio method for calculating stem volume to variable merchantable limits, and associated taper equations. **The Forestry Chronicle** 64: 18-28, 1988.
- BROOKS, J.R.; JIANG, L.; ÖZÇELIK, R. Compatible stem volume and taper equations for Brutian pine, Cedar of Lebanon, and Cilicica fir in Turkey. Forest Ecology and Management 256, p. 147-151, 2008.
- BURKHART, H.E. Cubic-foot volume of loblolly pine to any merchantable top limit. **Southern Journal of Applied Forestry** I, p. 7-9, 1977.
- BURKHART, H.E.; TOMÉ, M. Modeling forest trees and stands. Springer Netherlands. XIV, 458 p, 2012.
- BYRNE, J.C.; REED, D.D. Complex compatible taper and volume estimation systems for red and loblolly pine. **Forest science** 32, p. 423-443, 1986.
- CAO, Q.V. Calibrating a segmented taper equation with two diameter measurements. **Southern Journal of Applied Forestry** 33, p. 58-61, 2009.
- CAO, Q.V.; BURKHART, H.E.; MAX, T.A. Evaluation of two methods for cubic-volume prediction of loblolly pine to any merchantable limit. Forest Science 26, p. 71-80, 1980.

- CAO, Q.V.; BURKHART, H.E. Cubic-foot volume of loblolly pine to any height limit. Southern Journal of Applied Forestry 4, p. 166-168, 1980.
- CAO, Q.V.; WANG, J. Calibrating fixed-and mixed-effects taper equations. Forest Ecology and Management 262, p. 671-673, 2011.
- CLARK, A.; SOUTER, R.; SCHLAEGEL, B. Stem profile equations for southern tree species (Research Paper SE-282). **USDA Forest Service**:113, 1991.
- CLUTTER, J.L. Development of taper functions from variabletop merchantable volume equations. **Forest Science**, 26, p. 117-120, 1980.
- CZAPLEWSKI, R.L.; MCCLURE, J.P. Conditioning a segmented stem profile model for two diameter measurements. Forest Science, 34, p. 512-522, 1988.
- DEMAERSCHALK, J. Converting volume equations to compatible taper equations. Forest Science, 18, p. 241-245, 1972.
- DIÉGUEZ-ARANDA, U.; CASTEDO-DORADO, F.; ÁLVAREZ-GONZÁLEZ, J.G.; ROJO, A. Compatible taper function for Scots pine plantations in northwestern Spain. **Canadian Journal of Forest Research,** 36, p. 1190-1205, 2006.
- FANG, Z.; BAILEY, R.L. Compatible volume and taper models with coefficients for tropical species on Hainan Island in Southern China. Forest Science, 45, p. 85-100, 1999.
- GDF. Forest Resources. The General Directorate of Forests, Ankara, 2018.
- GOULDING, C.; MURRAY, J. Polynomial taper equations that are compatible with tree volume equations. **NZJ For. Sci**, 5, p. 312-325, 1976.
- HONER, T.G. The use of height and squared diameter ratios for the estimation of merchantable cubic foot volume. **The Forestry Chronicle**, 40:324-331, 1964.
- JIANG, L.; BROOKS, J.R.; WANG, J. Compatible taper and volume equations for yellow-poplar in West Virginia. Forest Ecology and Management, 213, p. 399-409, 2005.
- JORDAN, L.; BERENHAUT, K.; SOUTER, R.; DANIELS, R.F. Parsimonious and completely compatible taper, total, and merchantable volume models. Forest Science, 51, p. 578-584, 2005.
- KOZAK, A. A variable-exponent taper equation. **Canadian** Journal of Forest Research, 18, p. 1363-1368, 1988.
- KOZAK, A.; SMITH, J. Standards for evaluating taper estimating systems. The Forestry Chronicle, 69, p. 438-444, 1993.
- LENHART, J.D.; HACKETT, T.L.; LAMAN, C.J.; WISWELL, T.J.; BLACKARD, J.A. Tree content and taper functions for loblolly and slash pine trees planted on non-old-fields in east Texas. Southern Journal of Applied Forestry, 11, p. 147-151, 1987.

- LI, R.; WEISKITTEL, A.R. Comparison of model forms for estimating stem taper and volume in the primary conifer species of the north American Acadian. **Annals of Forest** Science, 67, p. 302-302, 2010.
- MAX, T.A.; BURKHART, H.E. Segmented polynomial regression applied to taper equations. **Forest Science**, 22, p. 283-289, 1976.
- MUHAIRWE, C.K. 1999. Taper equations for *Eucalyptus pilularis* and *Eucalyptus grandis* for the north coast in New South Wales, Australia. **Forest Ecology and Management**, 113(2):251-269.
- MUNRO, D.D.; DEMAERSCHALK, J.P. Taper-based versus volume-based compatible estimating systems. **The Forestry Chronicle,** 50, p. 197-199, 1974.
- NEWNHAM, R. Variable-form taper functions for four Alberta tree species. **Canadian Journal of Forest Research**, 22, p. 210-223, 1992.
- ÖZÇELIK, R.; DIAMANTOPOULOU, M.; BROOKS, J.R. The use of tree crown variables in over-bark diameter and volume prediction models. **IForest**, 7, p. 132-139, 2014.
- ÖZÇELIK, R.; CRECENTE-CAMPO, F. Stem taper equations for estimating merchantable volume of Lebanon cedar trees in the Taurus mountains, southern Turkey. Forest Science 62, p. 78-91, 2016.
- ÖZÇELIK, R.; CAO, Q.V. Evaluation of fitting and adjustment methods for taper and volume prediction of black pine in Turkey. **Forest Science**, 63, p. 349-355, 2017.
- POUDEL, K.P.; CAO, Q.V. Evaluation of methods to predict Weibull parameters for characterizing diameter distributions. Forest Science, 59, p. 243-252, 2013.
- REED, D. Simultaneous estimation of tree taper and merchantable volume in loblolly pine. **VA J. Science** 33, p. 85, 1982.

- REED, D.D.; GREEN, E.J. COmpatible stem taper and volume ratio equations. **Forest Science**, 30, p. 977-990, 1984.
- SABATIA, C.O.; BURKHART, H.E. On the use of upper stem diameters to localize a segmented taper equation to new trees. **Forest Science**, 61, p. 411-423, 2015.
- SAKICI, O.E.; MISIR, N.; YAVUZ, H.; MISIR, M. Stem taper functions for Abies nordmanniana subsp bornmulleriana in Turkey. Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research, 23, p. 522-533, 2008.
- SAKICI, O.E.; OZDEMIR, G. Stem taper estimations with artificial neural networks for mixed Oriental beech and Kazdaği fir stands in Karabük region, Turkey. **CERNE**, 24, P. 439-451, 2018.
- SCHRÖDER, T.; COSTA, E.A.; VALERIO, A.F.; Dos SANTOS LISBOA, G. Taper equations for *Pinus elliottii* Engelm. in southern Parana, Brazil. Forest Science, 61, p. 311-319, 2014.
- SCHUMACHER, F.X.; HALL, F.D.S. Logarithmic expression of timber-tree volume. J. Agric. Res., 47, p. 719-734, 1933.
- SHARMA, M.; ZHANG, S. Variable-exponent taper equations for jack pine, black spruce, and balsam fir in eastern Canada. Forest Ecology and Management, 198, p. 39-53, 2004.
- TESHOME, T. A ratio method for predicting stem merchantable volume and associate taper equations for Cupressus lusitanica, Ethiopia. **Forest Ecology and Management**, 204, p. 171-179, 2005.
- VAN DEUSEN, P.C. Simultaneous estimation with a squared error loss function. **Canadian Journal of Forest Research**, 18, p. 1093-1096, 1988.
- VAN DEUSEN, P.C.; MATNEY, T.G.; SULLIVAN, A.D. A compatible system for predicting the volume and diameter of sweetgum trees to any height. Southern Journal of Applied Forestry, 6, p. 159-163, 1982.